ATHENA PROJECT

ASSET 2003

The Athena Survey
of Science Engineering and Technology
in Higher Education

Statistical Tables
Appendix to Report 26

Introduction

The tables in this appendix are ordered in two series:

- Tables A1 to A9. These tables are either completely new or expanded versions of tables in the preliminary report
- Tables 1 to 37. These are tables from the Preliminary Report which are referred to in the Main Report

The following listing links the tables to the relevant section of the Main Report

Section 1 Introduction

Tables 1, 2, 3

Section 2 The Survey

Table A1 and Tables 1, 2, 3

Sections 3-6 The Academic Grades

Subsection 1. Key Findings

Tables A2, A3, A4, A5, A7 and Tables 5, 14, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 32, 34

Subsection 2. Respondents' Profile

Tables A1, A2 and Tables 4, 6, 7

Subsection 3. Research

Tables A3, A4 and Tables 5, 24, 34

Subsection 4. Teaching

Tables A3, A4 and Table 34

Subsection 5. Committees and Administration

Table A3 and Table 34

Subsection 6. External Activities

Tables A4, A6 and Tables 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 34

Subsection 7. Valued, Treated and Supported by their Department?

Tables 34, 36

Section 7 Career Progression

Tables A1, A5, A8, A9 and Tables 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 36

Section 8 The Working Life of a SET Academic

Tables A4, A5 and Tables 5, 14, 21, 24, 26, 27, 34, 36, 37

Contents

New Tables	P	age
Table A1	Respondents with children	1
Table A2	Age, time in grade and at current HEI	2
Table A3	Responsibilities and committee participation	3
Table A4	Most important factors in contributing to successful career progression	4
Table A5	What helps career progression to ideal level	5
Table A6	Participation in and level of membership of professional organisations	6
Table A7	Aspirations to work outside HE	6
Table A8	All male interview panels	7
Table A9	Factors perceived to contribute to successful appointment at lecturer level	7
Tables from Pr	reliminary Report *	
Table 1	Participating HEIs	8
Table 2	Respondents by subject areas	8
Table 3	Respondent numbers in subject area, by grade and gender	8
Table 4	Current grade of respondents	8
Table 5	Inclusion in RAE	9
Table 6	Type of post	9
Table 7	Contract type and time in current grade	9
Table 8	Personal details	9
Table 11	Factors in successful lecturer level appointments	10
Table 12	Moving institution to obtain a higher level post	10
Table 13	Reasons for moving institution to obtain a higher level post	10
Table 14	Number of applications, by grade and gender	10
Table 15	Route to higher posts and encouragement received	11
Table 20	Membership of professional organisations, by grade and subject area	11
Table 21	Highest level of 'membership'	11
Table 22	Participation in professional organization	11
Table 23	Conference participation	11
Table 24	Activities by grade and gender	12
Table 25	Summary of aspirations, by grade	12
Table 26	Ambitions, by grade and gender	12
Table 27	Changes in aspirations and expectations	12
Table 30	Knowledge of promotion criteria	13
Table 31	Knowledge of promotion procedures	13
Table 34	Perceptions, by grade and gender	14
Table 36	Male responses on equality of treatment in their 'department'	16
Table 37	Female responses on equality of treatment in their 'department'	16

 $^{^{\}star}$ Please note that not all tables from the Preliminary Report are included. The full set is available from athena@royalsoc.ac.uk

Table A1 Respondents with children by grade, gender, and care responsibilities

	All	Male	Female
Professors	39%	40%	36%
Senior Lecturers/Readers	46%	45%	49%
Lecturers	41%	42%	41%
Other	31%	30%	33%

Respondents with	Male	Female	All
Children aged < 6 yrs	20%	22%	21%
Children aged 6-16	31%	29%	30%
Children aged 16 or under	42%	42%	42%

Respondents with	Mal	е	Fema	le	All		
	Main carer Shared		Main carer	Shared	Main carer	Shared	
Children aged < 6 yrs	3%	72%	31%	63%	12%	69%	
Children aged 6-16	4%	66%	28%	62%	11%	65%	
Children aged 16 or under	3%	68%	31%	60%	12%	65%	

Table A2 Age, time in grade and at current HEI, by grade and gender

Professors								
Time in grade	male	female	Time at current HEI	male	female	Age	male	female
2 years or less	20%	37%	2 years or less	8%	5%	31-40	7%	4%
3-5 years	24%	25%	3-5 years	9%	11%	41-50	35%	43%
6-10 years	27%	24%	6-10 years	16%	28%	51-60	46%	45%
11+ years	29%	14%	11-15 years	14%	20%	over 60	12%	8%
Median	7 yrs	4 yrs	16-20 years	14%	14%			
	-	-	More than 20 years	39%	22%			
Senior lecturers/rea	aders							
Time in grade	male	female	Time at current HEI		female	Age	male	female
2 years or less	34%	41%	2 years or less	6%	9%	≤30	0%	1%
3-5 years	29%	27%	3-5 years	10%	13%	31-40	24%	29%
6-10 years	22%	24%	6-10 years	23%	30%	41-50	41%	48%
11+ years	16%	8%	11-15 years	20%	23%	51-60	28%	21%
Median	4 yrs	3 yrs	16-20 years	13%	12%	over 60	7%	1%
			More than 20 years	28%	13%			
Lecturers								
Time in grade	male	female	Time at current HEI	male	female	Age	male	female
2 years or less	38%	41%	2 years or less	28%	31%	≤30	10%	12%
3-5 years	30%	29%	3-5 years	28%	30%	31-40	58%	61%
6-10 years	19%	21%	6-10 years	25%	24%	41-50	23%	20%
11+ years	13%	8%	11-15 years	9%	9%	51-60	7%	7%
Median	3 yrs	3 yrs	16-20 years	5%	4%	over 60	2%	0%
			More than 20 years	5%	2%			
Others								
Time in grade	male	female	Time at current HEI	male	female	Age	male	female
2 years or less	47%	48%	2 years or less	28%	32%	≤30	10%	15%
3-5 years	33%	44%	3-5 years	24%	23%	31-40	48%	49%
6-10 years	16%	5%	6-10 years	24%	26%	41-50	25%	25%
11+ years	4%	3%	11-15 years	8%	11%	51-60	12%	10%
Median	3 yrs	3 yrs	16-20 years	5%	4%	over 60	5%	1%
Modium	O yis	O yis	More than 20 years	11%	4%	0 V C I 0 O	370	1 70
			more than 20 years	1170	770			

Table A3
Responsibilities and Committee Participation by grade and gender

Responsibilities at departmental/faculty level

	Professors		Senior lec	turer/reader	Lec	turer	Other	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
Head of Research group / section	61%	62%	36%	32%	15%	13%	22%	18%
Other admin posts	49%	41%	69%*	57%	61%*	53%	28%	25%
Head of Dept.	43%	37%	6%	4%	-	-	1%	-
Director of Ug / Pg Studies	28%	20%	30%*	23%	10%	10%	5%	7%
Deputy Head of Dept.	17%	16%	7%	5%	1%	1%	1%	-
Director of Research	17%	17%	3%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Admissions	16%	13%	27%	25%	23%	20%	4%	8%
Student support / welfare	9%	14%	26%	32%*	24%	30%	11%	12%
None of the above	6%	1%	11%	18%*	25%	32%*	58%	52%

^{*} denotes the higher percent when there is a significant difference in male/female responses

Participation in institution committees

	Professors		Senior lec	turer/reader	Lec	turer	Other	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
Senate / Council	41%	39%	18%*	11%	5%	3%	2%	1%
Academic board /committee	38%	37%	23%*	15%	7%	9%	4%	5%
Promotion committee	32%	39%	8%	9%	0%	2%	1%	1%
Research committee	18%	22%	4%	5%	1%	2%	2%	5%
Teaching committee	17%	18%	18%	15%	5%	9%*	3%	4%
Finance / planning / resources committee	15%	9%	3%	4%	0%	2%	1%	3%
Senior mgt / executive team	12%	17%	4%	6%	1%	1%	2%	1%
None of the above	29%	26%	56%	60%	86%*	80%	92%	90%

^{*} denotes the higher percent when there is a significant difference in male/female responses

Participation in departmental / faculty committees

	Professors		Senior lec	turer/reader	Lec	turer	Other	
	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
Academic board / committee	74%	72%	59%*	46%	34%*	24%	15%	19%
Research committee	70%	76%	40%	37%	22%	20%	13%	19%
Teaching committee	61%	54%	70%	65%	42%	42%	12%	19%
Promotions committee	58%	63%	21%*	14%	3%	1%	3%	4%
None of the above	5%	7%	14%	15%	38%	40%	68%	62%

^{*} denotes the higher percent when there is a significant difference in male/female responses

Table A4
Most important factors in contributing to successful career progression, by grade and gender
(expanded T33)

	PROF	ESSORS	LEC	ENIOR TURERS/ ADERS	LEC	TURERS		R (beware numbers)
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Research publications	92%	92%	91%	86%	89%	86%	73%	78%
Obtaining external research funding	76%	87%	77%	76%	77%	78%	61%	63%
Conference keynote/plenary speaker	53%	53%	39%	37%	30%	26%	20%	27%
Attracting new PhD students	42%	41%	38%	36%	44%	39%	34%	30%
Innovative teaching	27%	18% *	17%	18%	19%	25% *	18%	22%
Editor of acad journal	26%	30%	26%	26%	22%	20%	12%	16%
Member of nat/gov cttee	23%	25%	17%	24% *	9%	10%	9%	11%
Member of ed board of acad journal	21%	21%	21%	25%	19%	16%	6%	11%
Assessor for grant giving bodies	15%	22% *	16%	19%	10%	12%	9%	11%
Chair of dept/faculty cttees	14%	13%	10%	11%	7%	10%	9%	8%
External examiner	14%	12%	14%	18%	11%	12%	15%	10%
Course director	13%	14%	11%	18% *	14%	17%	9%	8%
Launching new course	11%	16%	8%	13%	10%	14%	9%	11%
Conference attendance	11%	12%	11%	12%	12%	14%	22%	21%
Networking within dept	10%	11%	12%	17%	17%	26% *	22%	41% *
Raising profile of dept in media	9%	11%	9%	10%	9%	11%	12%	7%
Member of dept/fac cttee	9%	12%	11%	16%	15%	17%	9%	21% *
Teaching related publications	7%	4%	7%	11%	7%	10%	6%	14%
Module/unit director	6%	14% *	6%	8%	7%	12%	5%	4%
Professional consultancy work	6%	4%	7%	10%	3%	4%	4%	14% *
Raising admissions	6%	3%	6%	6%	6%	4%	5%	4%
Member of other inst.wide cttee	5%	14% *	7%	11%	7%	6%	4%	7%
Member of uni finance/planning/resource ottee	5%	12% *	3%	4%	3%	5%	5%	1%
Member of appts cttee	4%	1%	2%	5%	2%	2%	3%	4%
Member of Senate/Council	3%	5%	3%	5%	1%	3%	2%	0%
QAA subject reviewer	3%	5%	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	3%
Other factors contributing to career prog	12%	16%	11%	15%	7%	12%	12%	11%

^{*} denotes significant difference in male/female responses

Table A5
Perceptions on what will help career progression to ideal level, by grade and gender

Males					••••••		
Professors		Senior lecturers/readers		Lecturers	Other		
Research performance	36%	Research performance	69%	Research performance	83%	Research performance	52%
Management / supervisory skills	24%	Management / supervisory skills	22%	Personal development	30%	Personal development	26%
Communication skills	17%	Personal development	21%	Management / supervisory skills	29%	Subject discipline related specialist experience	25%
Financial management experience	15%	Appraisal	21%	Appraisal	29%	Appraisal	25%
Personal development	15%	Subject discipline related specialist experience	18%	Communication skills	27%	Communication skills	22%
Subject discipline related specialist experience	13%	Communication skills	17%	Subject discipline related specialist experience	24%	Management / supervisory skills	16%
Appraisal	12%	Mentoring	7%	Mentoring	14%	Mentoring	15%
Mentoring	6%	Financial management experience	6%	Financial management experience	7%	Financial management experience	9%
Other	10%	Other	14%	Other	12%	Other	18%

Females							
Professors		Senior lecturers/readers		Lecturers	Other		
Research performance	34%	Research performance	70%	Research performance	81%	Research performance	52%
Communication skills	24%	Personal development	33%	Personal development	44%	Appraisal	37%
Management / supervisory skills	22%	Management / supervisory skills	29%	Appraisal	40%	Personal development	33%
Financial management experience	17%	Appraisal	29%	Management / supervisory skills	37%	Communication skills	32%
Personal development	14%	Communication skills	24%	Communication skills	33%	Management / supervisory skills	30%
Appraisal	13%	Subject discipline related specialist experience	22%	Subject discipline related specialist experience	33%	Subject discipline related specialist experience	26%
Subject discipline related specialist experience	9%	Mentoring	18%	Mentoring	31%	Mentoring	22%
Mentoring	8%	Financial management experience	10%	Financial management experience	12%	Financial management experience	12%
Other	16%	Other	22%	Other	18%	Other	18%

Table A6 Participation in and level of membership of Professional Organisations, by grade and gender (percentages are of those who are members – see Table 20)

	Professors			Senior Lecturers/ Readers		urers	Other	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Senior officer	12%	23% *	8%	5%	2%	2%	3%	4%
Council / Board member	29%	34%	12%	16%	5%	9% *	3%	4%
Special interest group/committee	44%	42%	31%	32%	19%	26% *	17%	13%
Conference programme committee	32%	30%	20%	17%	10%	9%	9%	11%
Member of editorial board	39%	36%	17% *	11%	8% *	3%	14%	9%
Other	8%	14%	5%	12%	7%	11%	11%	2%

	Professors		fessors Senior Lecturers/ Readers *		Lecturers		Other	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Associate/affiliate	1%	3%	3%	4%	6%	6%	6%	9%
Member	37%	37%	66%	76%	83%	87%	73%	84%
Fellow	62%	60%	31%	20%	11%	7%	21%	7%

Table A7 Aspirations to work outside HE

Aspirations to work outside HE in research, SET policy/mgt, using SET	Professors		Senior Lecturers/ Readers		Lecturers		Other	
skills, running own business or doing something complete different	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Yes to at least one option	21%	28%	19%	21%	23%	29%	38%	42%
Possibly to at least one option	40%	37%	51%	57%	58%	55%	40%	52%
No to all or most	33%	31%	26%	19%	14%	10%	20%	7%
No and/or don't know	5%	3%	4%	4%	4%	6%	2%	0%

Table A8 Percentages having all male interview panels, by years in grade

	Appointed in last 2 years	3-5 years	6 to 10 years ago	11 or more years ago	
Professors	•		, ,	, ,	N
All	49%	54%	51%	43%	163
Male	42%	43%	42%	51%	131
Female	41%	43%	69%	89%	32
Senior Lecturer/reader					
All	42%	53%	56%	57%	347
Male	47%	54%	64%	62%	273
Female	32%	49%	33%	33%	74
Lecturer					
All	31%	36%	44%	66%	252
Male	35%	41%	55%	67%	174
Female	26%	28%	28%	63%	78
Other					
All	32%	36%	58%	50%	44
Male	36%	48%	55%	67%	28
Female	29%	26%	100%	0%	16

Notes
1. Beware small numbers for these disaggregations
2. The Ns are small because they are just the numbers who responded "all male" to the question about composition of interview panel

	Appointed in last 2 years	3-5 years	6 to 10 years ago	11 or more years ago	N
ALL grades	•				
All	37%	43%	49%	58%	806
Male	41%	47%	55%	59%	606
Female	30%	36%	36%	57%	200

Table A9 Factors perceived to contribute to successful appointment at lecturer level [expanded Table 11]

	All	Current	Current
	respondents	Male	Female
		lecturers	lecturers
Research fit with department	45%	64%	50%
Research publications	43%	62%	48%
Prior contact/knowledge of dept	34%	45%	51%
Eminence of referees	25%	36%	27%
Reputation of university where obtained PhD	25%	33%	27%
Topical research area	21%	32%	24%
Teaching fit with department	20%	26%	29%
Teaching experience	20%	26%	34%

Participating institutions [Table 1]

Russell Group	Non-Russell and pre 1992	Post 92 and HEIs
(66% of respondents)	(23% of respondents)	(11% of respondents)
Bristol	Aberystwyth	Bolton
Cambridge	East Anglia	Coventry
Edinburgh	Heriot Watt	Hertfordshire
Glasgow	Queen Mary London	Kingston
Imperial College London	Reading	Luton
Nottingham	Royal Holloway London	Plymouth
Oxford	St Andrews	
Sheffield	Stirling	
Southampton		

Respondents by subject areas [Table 2]

	Number	%
Biological sciences	489	23%
Physical sciences	381	18%
Math. & comp. sciences	329	15%
Engineering	317	15%
Medicine and Dentistry	276	13%
Subjects allied to medicine	247	11%
Vet. sciences, agriculture & related	68	3%
Technologies	38	2%
Architecture and building	15	*
Other SET subject	12	*
Total	2,172	100%

Respondent numbers in subject area, by grade and gender [Table 3]

	Professor		Senior Lecturer		Lecturer		Other	
	M	F	M	F	М	F	М	F
Biological sciences	84	18	110	60	84	86	24	23
Physical sciences	81	13	122	24	80	34	21	6
Math. & comp. sciences	72	5	100	17	93	29	10	3
Engineering	52	3	100	16	94	26	16	10
Medicine and dentistry	53	22	67	45	25	40	11	13
Subjects allied to medicine	23	11	53	56	29	53	9	13
Vet.sciences, agric & related	15	3	19	6	13	8	2	2
Technologies	9	1	15	2	8	3	0	0
Architecture and building	5	0	3	1	5	1	0	0
Other SET subject	1	0	3	1	1	3	0	3
Total	395	76	592	228	432	283	93	73

Totals					
М	F	All			
302	187	489			
304	77	381			
275	54	329			
262	55	317			
156	120	276			
114	133	247			
49	19	68			
32	6	38			
13	2	15			
5	7	12			
1,512	660	2,172			

%
Female
38%
20%
16%
17%
43%
54%
28%
16%
13%
58%
30%

Current grade of respondents [Table 4]

grade or respondents	[]	
	Number	%
Professor	471	22%
Senior Lecturer	820	38%
Lecturer	715	33%
Other, mostly researchers	166	8%
Total	2,172	100%

Male		Fema	ale
395	26%	76	12%
592	39%	228	35%
432	29%	283	43%
93	6%	73	11%
1,512	100%	660	100%

Inclusion in RAE [Table 5]

	Professor	Senior Lecturer	Lecturer	Other	All
Male	96%	77%	69%	43%	78%
Female	97%	75%	56%	35%	66%
All	96%	77%	64%	39%	75%

Note: percentages exclude those for whom the RAE was not applicable

Type of post [Table 6]

	Professor	Senior Lecturer	Lecturer	Other	All
Teaching only	1%	6%	7%	12%	6%
Research only	4%	3%	3%	57%	7%
Teaching and research	95%	91%	89%	31%	87%

Contract type and time in current grade [Table 7]

	Contract Type						Time in current grade			ade
	Permanent	Fixed Term	Probation	Honorary/ emeritus/ casual	Full time	Part Time	≤2yrs	3-5	6-10	>10
Professor	94%	5%	1%	*	96%	4%	23%	24%	26%	26%
Senior Lecturer	93%	7%	0%	*	95%	5%	36%	28%	22%	14%
Lecturer	73%	23%	4%	*	93%	7%	39%	30%	20%	11%
Other, mostly researchers	30%	69%	1%	0%	87%	13%	47%	38%	11%	4%

Personal details [Table 8]

	All	Male	Female							
Age category										
<26	*	*	*							
26-30	5%	4%	7%							
31-35	14%	13%	18%							
36-40	20%	18%	24%							
41-45	18%	18%	18%							
4650	15%	16%	15%							
51-55	13%	15%	11%							
56-60	10%	11%	6%							
61-65	5%	6%	2%							
>65	*	1%	-							
Nationality										
British	80%	80%	79%							
European	8%	7%	9%							
North American	3%	2%	3%							
Elsewhere	4%	4%	4%							
Unknown	6%	7%	5%							
Children 16 or under	43%	43%	43%							
Care responsibilitie Child	38%	area) 36%	43%							
Parent	14%	14%	15%							
Partner	6%	7%	4%							
	Future need for flexible working									
Very likely	18%	12%	33%							
Possible	27%	26%	28%							
Unlikely	39%	45%	24%							
Disability										
No known disability	86%	84%	89%							

Factors perceived to contribute to successful appointment at lecturer level [Table 11]

Research fit with department	45%
Research publications	43%
Prior contact/knowledge of dept	34%
Eminence of referees	25%
Reputation of university where obtained PhD	25%
Topical research area	21%
Teaching fit with department	20%
Teaching experience	20%
Other	13%

Moved institutions to obtain first post at a higher level [Table 12]

Moved Institution to get first post at:	All	Male	Female
Lecturer level	60%	61%	58%
Senior Lecturer level	15%	13%	19%
Professorial level	31%	30%	32%

Reasons for moving institution to obtain a higher level post [Table 13]

Lecturers [N=973]		Senior lecturers/readers [N=162]		Professorial [N=155]	
1st opp. to get a post at this level	54%	1st opp. to get a post at this level	44%	1st opp. to get a post at this level	49%
Attracted by working in HE	29%	Reputation of department	35%	Reputation of institution	44%
Reputation of department	25%	Reputation of institution	31%	Widen experience	33%
Only way to get a post at this level	24%	Reputation of research	27%	Reputation of department	32%
Reputation of institution	22%	Widen experience	27%	Reputation of research	31%
Widen experience	21%	Attracted by working in HE	23%	Attracted by working in HE	21%
Reputation of research	16%	More money	15%	More money	20%
Non-renewal of fixed term contract	15%	Only way to get a post at this level	13%	Only way to get a post at this level	12%
Family/partner commitments	9%	Family/partner commitments	12%	Family/partner commitments	10%
More money	6%	Non-renewal of fixed term contract	4%	Non-renewal of fixed term contract	4%

Number of applications, by grade and gender [Table 14]

No. of applications		For First Lecturer Post			For First Senior Lecturer post			For First fessorial p	oost
	All	Male	Female	All	Male	Female	All	Male	Female
1	49%	45%	58%	61%	61%	62%	52%	50%	59%
2	18%	18%	18%	22%	20%	27%	24%	24%	24%
3	13%	14%	10%	10%	11%	6%	13%	14%	8%
4	6%	7%	5%	3%	4%	2%	8%	8%	7%
5	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	4%	3%
6+	11%	13%	6%	3%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Mean	2.30	2.45	1.95	1.71	1.75	1.61	1.88	1.92	1.71
Ν	1497	1047	450	825	598	218	486	393	93

Route to higher posts and encouragement, by gender [Table 15]

	Senior Lecturer post			Professorial post		
Obtained first post at this level:	All	Male	Female	All	Male	Female
- through promotion	76%	78%	68%	62%	63%	60%
- through external application	15%	14%	20%	32%	32%	32%
- through internal application	9%	8%	11%	6%	5%	8%
	Senior Lecturer post			Pro	fessorial p	ost

	Senio	Senior Lecturer post			Professorial post		
	All	Male	Female	All	Male	Female	
Encouraged to apply for a post at	:						
this level	All: 54%	55%	50%	62%	67%	46%	
Current gr	ade:						
Profess	orial 52%	49%	62%	89%	90%	84%	
Senior lecturer/re	ader 59%	60%	58%	45%	48%	37%	
Lec	turer 36%	45%	31%	14%	20%	5%	

Membership of professional organisation, by grade and subject area [Table 20]

iessional organisation, b	y grade ar	ia subjeci	area fran
	All	Male	Female
All	83%	83%	82%
Current Grade			
Professor	93%	92%	96%
Senior Lecturer/Reader	87%	88%	86%
Lecturer	74%	71%	79%
Other	68%	70%	64%
Subject Area*	All	Male	Female
Medicine and Dentistry	95%	97%	92%
Subjects allied to medicine	89%	93%	85%
Vet. sciences, agric & related	84%	82%	90%
Biological sciences	82%	82%	82%
Engineering	81%	81%	81%
Physical sciences	80%	82%	73%
Math. & comp. sciences	75%	77%	65%

^{*} Subject areas with <50 respondents have not been included

Highest level of membership [Table 21]

	All	Male	Female
Associate/affiliate	4%	4%	5%
Member	66%	62%	76%
Fellow	30%	34%	19%

Participation in professional organisation [Table 22]

	All	Male	Female
Senior officer	7%	7%	6%
Council / Board	15%	15%	14%
Special interest group	31%	31%	29%
Conference programme committee	19%	20%	15%
Editorial board	18%	21%	11%
Other	8%	7%	11%

Note: half the membership of professional organisations had not participated at these levels

Participation at conferences, by grade and gender [Table 23]

				Profe	Professor Senior Lect./ Reader		Lecturer		./ Lecturer Oth		er
Conference participation	All	М	F	M	F	М	F	М	F	М	F
		[1512]	[660]	[395]	[76]	[592]	[228]	[432]	[283]	[93]	[73]
Keynote - plenary speaker	37%	41%	29%	73%	82%	37%	31%	21%	15%	22%	18%
Specialist/break out session speaker	45%	46%	41%	64%	63%	44%	54%	37%	31%	31%	22%
Sessional chair	49%	53%	42%	80%	89%	52%	48%	35%	29%	25%	22%
None of these	25%	22%	31%	6%	3%	22%	18%	33%	45%	42%	47%

Activities, by grade and gender [Table 24]

gen	•	-	· [Profes	ssor	Senior		Lect	urer	Oth	er
Past or present	All	М	F	М	F	Read M	der F	М	F	М	F
T dot of present	A''	[1512]	[660]	[395]	[76]	[592]	[228]	[432]	[283]	[93]	[73]
Member of departmental research group	78%	80%	73%	86%	92%	78%	71%	78%	72%	69%	59%
Undertake professional consultancy work	51%	57%	39%	69%	57%	59%	46%	47%	32%	33%	32%
Assessor for a Research council	50%	56%	38%	85%	87%	57%	46%	35%	24%	22%	16%
Member of an interdisciplinary research group	49%	50%	46%	57%	68%	49%	45%	46%	44%	44%	33%
Member of an international research group	39%	42%	32%	54%	61%	40%	36%	35%	23%	37%	25%
Editorial board of academic journal	36%	40%	26%	76%	80%	38%	33%	14%	10%	14%	15%
Member of grant giving panel	25%	27%	20%	62%	76%	20%	22%	8%	6%	5%	8%
Professional 'representative' duties	20%	21%	20%	37%	50%	20%	25%	8%	11%	13%	10%
Research Council committee	16%	18%	11%	43%	53%	12%	11%	5%	3%	5%	1%
Editor of academic journal	14%	18%	7%	39%	26%	14%	7%	4%	2%	9%	0%
An EU evaluator	10%	11%	6%	26%	26%	8%	3%	3%	4%	8%	0%
A trustee/governor/member of a public body	10%	11%	9%	19%	20%	10%	11%	5%	6%	8%	3%
Research council member	5%	5%	5%	9%	18%	4%	6%	3%	2%	3%	0%
A non-executive director of a public company	4%	5%	3%	11%	7%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%

Summary of aspirations by current grade [Table 25]

	Current grade							
	Other	Lecturer	Senior Lecturer	Professorial				
Satisfied with current level	21%	6%	24%	66%				
Aspire to 'Lecturer' level post	17%	4%	*	*				
Aspire to 'Senior Lecturer' level post	16%	43%	4%	*				
Aspire to 'Professorial' level post	42%	56%	66%	11%				

Ambitions by grade and gender [Table 26]

				Professorial		Senior lecturer		Lecturer		Other	
Ambitions to be	All	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Head of Research Group	48%	47%	51%	50%	67%	58%	59%	72%	64%	65%	61%
Member of senior management	25%	22%	31%	31%	44%	29%	38%	24%	39%	27%	31%
Head of department	15%	15%	17%	18%	33%	19%	21%	17%	19%	17%	15%
Dean	7%	8%	7%	15%	25%	10%	9%	6%	6%	9%	0%
Pro VC	7%	7%	5%	16%	23%	9%	6%	4%	5%	4%	2%
VC	4%	4%	2%	9%	9%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	0%

Changes in aspirations and expectations since first entering HE [Table 27]

	A	ALL		Professors		Senior lecturers		Lecturers		ther
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Yes, a lot	20%	25%	23%	45%	20%	25%	17%	19%	21%	27%
Yes, some	38%	46%	40%	35%	40%	47%	34%	48%	42%	51%
No	42%	29%	37%	20%	40%	28%	49%	33%	37%	22%

Knowledge of promotion criteria [Table 30]

	All		Professors		Senior lecturers		Lecturers		Other	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Yes	44%	28%	71%	71%	43%	36%	23%	16%	19%	10%
Fairly good knowledge	29%	29%	21%	25%	33%	35%	32%	30%	25%	15%
Some knowledge	21%	32%	7%	3%	20%	24%	36%	42%	24%	52%
No knowledge	6%	10%	1%	1%	4%	5%	9%	13%	32%	23%

Knowledge of promotion procedure [Table 31]

	All		Professors		Senior lecturers		Lecturers		Other	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Yes	46%	29%	70%	67%	49%	33%	28%	19%	18%	12%
Fairly good knowledge	20%	21%	17%	24%	24%	27%	19%	16%	15%	12%
Some knowledge	19%	29%	9%	5%	18%	27%	31%	36%	20%	26%
No knowledge	14%	22%	4%	4%	10%	13%	21%	28%	46%	49%

Strength of perceptions, by grade and gender [Table 34]

	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neither A or D	Disagree	Disagree Strongly		AS + A	Neither	D+DS	N
Teaching contribution	valued									
Professors: M	19.4	54.6	18.6	6.6	0.9		74.0	18.6	7.4	350
Professors: F	12.9	42.9	27.1	11.4	5.7		55.7	27.1	17.1	70
Senior Lecturers: M	14.4	47.7	18.8	13.2	6.0		62.1	18.8	19.1	554
Senior Lecturers: F	11.5	47.2	21.6	14.7	5.0		58.7	21.6	19.7	218
Lecturers: M	11.7	47.2	23.1	13.2	4.8		58.9	23.1	18.0	394
Lecturers: F	12.7	42.9	24.6	15.3	4.5		55.6	24.6	19.8	268
Other: M	11.3	38.7	24.2	17.7	8.1		50.0	24.2	25.8	62
Other: F	0.0	48.7	28.2	17.9	5.1		48.7	28.2	23.1	39
All	13.8	47.7	21.4	12.6	4.6		61.4	21.4	17.2	1,955
Barranda a satultanti a sa						_				
Research contribution		40.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		00.0	0.0	2.4	250
Professors: M	47.2	43.5	6.3	2.6	0.6		90.6	6.3	3.1	352
Professors: F	38.6	47.1	5.7	7.1	1.4		85.7	5.7	8.6	70
Senior Lecturers: M	22.4	44.0	20.2	10.0	3.3		66.4	20.2	13.4	539
Senior Lecturers: F	17.2	37.7	24.0	14.7	6.4		54.9	24.0	21.1	204
Lecturers: M	16.7	49.1	22.6	8.5	3.1		65.8	22.6	11.6	389
Lecturers: F	14.3	40.9	27.4	12.7	4.8		55.2	27.4	17.5	252
Other: M	19.7	51.3	17.1	6.6	5.3		71.1	17.1	11.8	76
Other: F	9.1	45.5	29.1	10.9	5.5	_	54.5	29.1	16.4	55
All	24.3	44.3	19.1	9.0	3.4		68.6	19.1	12.3	1,937
Administrative contribu	ıtion valued									
Professors: M	23.4	50.4	19.7	4.8	1.7		73.8	19.7	6.6	351
Professors: F	26.5	30.9	23.5	17.6	1.5		57.4	23.5	19.1	68
Senior Lecturers: M	15.6	42.2	25.8	11.5	4.9		57.8	25.8	16.4	550
Senior Lecturers: F	11.5	39.9	28.4	17.9	2.3		51.4	28.4	20.2	218
Lecturers: M	6.8	40.0	36.3	12.6	4.2		46.8	36.3	16.8	380
Lecturers: F	8.2	35.4	32.3	17.9	6.2		43.6	32.3	24.1	257
Other: M	13.6	39.0	28.8	11.9	6.8		52.5	28.8	18.6	59
Other: F	11.1	37.0	29.6	16.7	5.6		48.1	29.6	22.2	54
All								20.0	16.5	1,937
	14.0	41.5	28.0	12.4	4.0		55.5	28.0	10.5	
Canian adllar		41.5	28.0	12.4	4.0	_	55.5	20.0	10.3	
Senior colleagues are s	supportive									
Professors: M	supportive 24.7	50.3	13.7	8.0	3.3		75.0	13.7	11.3	364
Professors: M Professors: F	supportive 24.7 21.1	50.3 33.8	13.7 23.9	8.0 18.3	3.3 2.8		75.0 54.9	13.7 23.9	11.3 21.1	71
Professors: M Professors: F Senior Lecturers: M	24.7 21.1 13.7	50.3 33.8 45.7	13.7 23.9 23.5	8.0 18.3 13.2	3.3 2.8 3.9		75.0 54.9 59.4	13.7 23.9 23.5	11.3 21.1 17.1	71 562
Professors: M Professors: F Senior Lecturers: M Senior Lecturers: F	24.7 21.1 13.7 14.0	50.3 33.8 45.7 40.1	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6	8.0 18.3 13.2 13.1	3.3 2.8 3.9 6.3		75.0 54.9 59.4 54.1	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6	11.3 21.1 17.1 19.4	71 562 222
Professors: M Professors: F Senior Lecturers: M Senior Lecturers: F Lecturers: M	24.7 21.1 13.7 14.0 17.3	50.3 33.8 45.7 40.1 44.4	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5	8.0 18.3 13.2 13.1 9.9	3.3 2.8 3.9 6.3 5.9		75.0 54.9 59.4 54.1 61.7	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5	11.3 21.1 17.1 19.4 15.8	71 562 222 405
Professors: M Professors: F Senior Lecturers: M Senior Lecturers: F Lecturers: M Lecturers: F	24.7 21.1 13.7 14.0 17.3 10.9	50.3 33.8 45.7 40.1 44.4 44.5	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5 23.7	8.0 18.3 13.2 13.1 9.9	3.3 2.8 3.9 6.3 5.9 6.6		75.0 54.9 59.4 54.1 61.7 55.5	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5 23.7	11.3 21.1 17.1 19.4 15.8 20.8	71 562 222 405 274
Professors: M Professors: F Senior Lecturers: M Senior Lecturers: F Lecturers: M Lecturers: F Other: M	24.7 21.1 13.7 14.0 17.3 10.9 14.0	50.3 33.8 45.7 40.1 44.4 44.5	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5 23.7 20.9	8.0 18.3 13.2 13.1 9.9 14.2 11.6	3.3 2.8 3.9 6.3 5.9 6.6 5.8		75.0 54.9 59.4 54.1 61.7 55.5 61.6	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5 23.7 20.9	11.3 21.1 17.1 19.4 15.8 20.8 17.4	71 562 222 405 274 86
Professors: M Professors: F Senior Lecturers: M Senior Lecturers: F Lecturers: M Lecturers: F	24.7 21.1 13.7 14.0 17.3 10.9	50.3 33.8 45.7 40.1 44.4 44.5	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5 23.7 20.9 22.5	8.0 18.3 13.2 13.1 9.9	3.3 2.8 3.9 6.3 5.9 6.6		75.0 54.9 59.4 54.1 61.7 55.5	13.7 23.9 23.5 26.6 22.5 23.7	11.3 21.1 17.1 19.4 15.8 20.8 17.4 19.7	71 562 222 405 274

	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neither A or D	Disagree	Disagree Strongly		AS + A	Neither	D+DS	I
Socially integrated with	•									
Professors: M	21.3	55.2	13.7	6.7	3.1		76.5	13.7	9.8	
Professors: F	12.7	42.3	22.5	19.7	2.8		54.9	22.5	22.5	
Senior Lecturers: M	15.5	44.2	23.7	12.1	4.5		59.7	23.7	16.5	
Senior Lecturers: F	14.2	44.3	21.5	14.6	5.5		58.4	21.5	20.1	
Lecturers: M	13.3	42.5	23.5	15.3	5.5		55.8	23.5	20.8	
Lecturers: F	10.6	39.4	26.3	17.2	6.6		50.0	26.3	23.7	
Other: M	13.1	44.0	17.9	13.1	11.9		57.1	17.9	25.0	
Other: F	2.9	38.6	31.4	18.6	8.6	_	41.4	31.4	27.1	
All	14.6	44.9	22.0	13.2	5.2		59.5	22.0	18.5	2,
Opportunity to serve or	n committees	3				_				
Professors: M	32.6	51.3	10.8	3.1	2.3		83.9	10.8	5.4	
Professors: F	31.9	43.5	13.0	7.2	4.3		75.4	13.0	11.6	
Senior Lecturers: M	18.9	44.6	21.2	11.4	3.8		63.5	21.2	15.2	
Senior Lecturers: F	12.3	36.5	20.1	26.0	5.0		48.9	20.1	31.1	:
Lecturers: M	5.5	36.4	28.6	21.8	7.8		41.8	28.6	29.6	;
Lecturers: F	4.9	27.7	30.3	26.9	10.2		32.6	30.3	37.1	:
Other: M	5.7	24.3	17.1	22.9	30.0		30.0	17.1	52.9	
Other: F	6.8	23.7	28.8	27.1	13.6		30.5	28.8	40.7	
All	15.7	39.6	21.7	16.4	6.5		55.4	21.7	22.9	1,
Encouraged to improve				-		-				- ,
Professors: M	10.6	35.4	34.0	15.6	4.3		46.0	34.0	20.0	
Professors: F	24.2	25.8	37.9	12.1	0.0		50.0	37.9	12.1	
Senior Lecturers: M	10.6	35.4	34.0	15.6	4.3		46.0	34.0	20.0	
Senior Lecturers: F	7.7	38.9	26.7	20.4	6.3		46.6	26.7	26.7	
Lecturers: M	10.1	38.3	29.0	17.6	5.0		48.4	29.0	22.7	
Lecturers: F	7.9	41.9	24.3	19.9	6.0		49.8	24.3	25.8	
Other: M	8.8	38.8	28.8	8.8	15.0		47.5	28.8	23.8	
Other: F	4.3	28.6	32.9	25.7	8.6		32.9	32.9	34.3	
All	11.4	38.0	30.4	15.4	4.9		49.3	30.4	20.3	
	11.4	30.0	30.4	13.4	4.9		49.3	30.4	20.3	1
Successes celebrated	440	40.7	20.5	44.7	4.3		EE C	20 F	16.0	
Professors: M	14.8	40.7	28.5	11.7			55.6	28.5	16.0	
Professors: F	13.4	23.9	31.3	17.9	13.4		37.3	31.3	31.3	
Senior Lecturers: M	5.2	28.7	32.9	24.0	9.2		33.9	32.9	33.2	
Senior Lecturers: F	5.1	28.8	33.0	23.3	9.8		34.0	33.0	33.0	
Lecturers: M	5.4	28.6	33.9	22.7	9.4		33.9	33.9	32.1	
Lecturers: F	5.6	27.3	31.8	24.0	11.2		33.0	31.8	35.2	
Other: M	3.7	29.6	29.6	22.2	14.8		33.3	29.6	37.0	
Other: F	1.5	25.8	45.5	16.7	10.6		27.3	45.5	27.3	
All	7.1	30.4	32.4	21.0	9.1	_	37.5	32.4	30.1	ŕ
Professional activities										
Professors: M	4.0	29.2	35.0	24.0	7.7		33.2	35.0	31.7	
Professors: F	14.9	32.8	20.9	20.9	10.4		47.8	20.9	31.3	
Senior Lecturers: M	4.0	29.2	35.0	24.0	7.7		33.2	35.0	31.7	
Senior Lecturers: F	2.4	28.8	40.6	20.8	7.5		31.1	40.6	28.3	
Lecturers: M	3.4	23.8	45.3	20.7	6.8		27.2	45.3	27.5	
Lecturers: F	4.0	29.6	34.8	24.5	7.1		33.6	34.8	31.6	
Other: M	6.7	26.7	32.0	16.0	18.7		33.3	32.0	34.7	
Other: F	1.7	23.3	46.7	21.7	6.7		25.0	46.7	28.3	
All	5.5	30.4	35.7	21.1	7.3		35.9	35.7	28.4	•

Male responses on equality of treatment in their 'department' [Table 36]

Male responses	Women disadvantaged	No difference	Men disadvantaged	Don't know
Promotion	16.4%	67.1%	8.9%	7.6%
Salary	10.3%	72.4%	1.6%	15.7%
Access to career development	11.5%	77.0%	4.7%	6.8%
Access to teaching resources	0.8%	92.5%	1.0%	5.6%
Access to departmental funds	2.2%	88.5%	1.8%	7.4%
Office space	0.9%	92.8%	2.1%	4.1%
Lab space	1.5%	88.0%	1.0%	9.5%
Admin/office support	2.5%	89.5%	2.0%	6.0%
Sabbatical leave	1.6%	78.1%	4.1%	16.2%
Other leave	1.9%	62.5%	20.2%	15.4%

Female responses on equality of treatment in their 'department' [Table 37]

Female responses	Women	No	Men	Don't
	disadvantaged	difference	disadvantaged	know
Promotion	48.5%	40.8%	0.6%	10.0%
Salary	39.3%	39.8%	0.0%	20.9%
Access to career development	39.8%	50.8%	0.3%	9.1%
Access to teaching resources	4.2%	87.7%	0.2%	7.9%
Access to departmental funds	15.6%	71.5%	0.0%	13.0%
Office space	15.8%	79.4%	0.3%	4.5%
Lab space	13.4%	69.8%	0.0%	16.8%
Admin/office support	21.2%	71.0%	0.5%	7.3%
Sabbatical leave	10.3%	59.2%	0.5%	30.1%
Other leave	8.1%	58.4%	7.3%	26.2%